UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/711,757	10/04/2004	YOUNGBO YOON	P04-289-YOO	5756	
27107 RICHARD A.	7590 05/16/2007 IOFLESO		EXAMINER		
496 KINDERK	KAMACK ROAD		DOAN, ROBYN KIEU		
ORADELL, N	J 07649		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			. 3732		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				<u> </u>
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/711,757	YOON, YOUNGBO	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Robyn Doan	3732	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover she	t with the correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMI 36(a). In no event, however, m will apply and will expire SIX (6) c, cause the application to becor	JNICATION.  ay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 O</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	• •	
Dienociti	on of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 04 October 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correct The Oath October 2004 is objected to by the Examine C	r election requirement er. : a) accepted or b) drawing(s) be held in ab	☑ objected to by the Examiner. eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) [ ] a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received rity documents have b u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage	
2) D Notic 3) D Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Drawings**

The informal drawings are not of sufficient quality to permit examination. Accordingly, replacement drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to this Office action. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Art Unit: 3732

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not shown in the drawings in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 1-6 recite an opening in the main wig portion and a detachable wig portion mounted over the opening, the metal element mounted over the wig opening, it is not clearly understood how these features position in the main wig, therefore, one skilled in the art would not be able to understand how the invention works.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 is indefinite because it is not clearly understood "a plastic lower portion" and "a mesh upper portion" are the same as "the plastic lower portion and the upper portion" as recited in claim 3.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Young (U.S. Pat. # 3,495,603).

With regard to claims 1 and 5, as best understood, Young discloses a wig with detachable hairpieces (figs. 1-4) comprising a main wig portion (10) with a part (11a-c, fig. 3) having a net cap (11, col. 1, lines 56, 57) and hair mounted thereto (col. 1, lines 57, 58) and an opening along the part (at 11a, b, c) and a detachable wig portion (16, 17) with loop and hook fasteners (20, 21 col. 2, lines 27-30) mounted over the opening (see figs. 3-4) and affixed to the main wig portion and capable to be removed permitting natural hair to extend there though creating a natural hairline.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young in view of Conley (U.S. Pat. # 1,583,778).

Art Unit: 3732

With regard to claims 2 and 6, as best understood, Young disclosed the essential claimed invention as discussed in claims 1 and 5 and further shows the detachable wig portion having a mesh portion having hair mounted thereto (col. 2, lines 8-12), Young fails to shows a shaped metal element mounted about the wig opening and a fabric portion mounted over. Conley discloses a wig (fig. 2) comprising a main wig (fig. 2), a metal element (10) mounted in the wig and a fabric (15, 16) portion mounted over the metal element (col. 1, lines 45-52). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the metal element as taught by Conley into the device of Young in order to create a hairline part to the user's hair. In regard to claims 3 and 4, as best understood, Young in view of Conley fail to show the detachable wig portion having an Omega plastic lower portion having a base and opposing legs, an upper portion having a mesh covering and hook and loop fasteners mounted thereon to secure the wig portion to the fabric portion of the wig over the wig opening. It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the detachable wig portion having an Omega plastic lower portion having a base and opposing legs, an upper portion having a mesh covering, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of the known component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level or ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Art Unit: 3732

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Megna, Goldman and Regina are cited to show the state of the art with respect to a wig.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robyn Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robyn Doan
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3732